



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Paper 16

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 400 MCLEAN VA 22102

COPY MAILED

JUL 1 9 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Akira Horiguchi et al. Application No. 09/743,502

Filed: June 7, 2001

Attorney Docket No: 474082001100

DECISION GRANTING PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed December 12, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and in the alternative as a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is **DISMISSED** as involving moot issues. The petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **GRANTED**.

For failure to timely respond to a non-Final Office Action mailed May 7, 2003, this application became abandoned on August 4, 2003. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed December 3, 2003.

The file record discloses that the non-Final Office Action was mailed to the address of record. However, petitioner contends that it was not received. In support of this contention, petitioner has not proferred any substantive evidence of non-receipt but a review of the file discloses that the office action was mailed to an incorrect address. It appears that on March 4, 2003 a Notice of Acceptance of Power of Attorney and Notice Regarding Change of Power of Attorney were both mailed in response to a Revocation and Power of Attorney purportedly filed February 19, 2003 and thus the address of record was changed by the USPTO.

Petitioner argues that they never filed a revocation or power of attorney in this matter. In accordance with Petitioner's arguments, there does not appear to be a Revocation and/or Power of Attorney dated February 19, 2003 in the file. Thus the changes made by the USPTO were in error and it would therefore stand to reason that even without additional proof submitted by the petitioner, that the office communication could not have been received by petitioner and thus, petitioner could not timely reply to the communication.

In view of the above, it is concluded that the non-Final Office Action was never received. Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is withdrawn. Since no petition fee

received. Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is withdrawn. Since no petition fee is due under 37 CFR 1.181, none has been charged.

With respect to the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a), in view of the above, there is no need to treat the petition under the unavoidable standard and thus the petition fee in the amount of \$500 has been credited back to deposit account 03-1952.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3723 for a re-mailing of the non-Final Office Action previously mailed May 7, 2003.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned

Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212,

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions